

CUC Higher Education Code of Governance mapping exercise

Outcome requested:	Governance Committee is asked to consider the outcomes from an internal mapping exercise to assess our current level of compliance with the revised CUC Higher Education Code of Governance.
Executive Summary:	[a] The CUC published a revised Higher Education Code of Governance in September 2020 following consultation with Higher Education Institutions. In future, governing bodies will be required to report on compliance with the Code as part of the Statement of Corporate Governance and Internal Control in the Financial Statements.
	[b] The mapping exercise identifies areas where further action is required in order to become compliant. These are highlighted in bold in the mapping and summarised below. Enhancement opportunities that are not required in order to become compliant with the Code will be considered at a later stage.
	 [c] The following administrative actions are proposed: update the Statement of Primary Responsibilities (Ordinance A3) to reflect the model statement in the Code; update the role description for Council members and the process for removal of members (Ordinance A4) to reflect the University values and the values in the Code; provide training for Council members on the updates to the Statement of Primary Responsibilities and the role description for Council members; provide assurance annually to Governance Committee and Council on compliance with the Charter and Ordinances; add the annual access and participation monitoring return to the schedule of business for Council; update the Delegation Framework to clarify circumstances in which partnerships may require approval from Council other than for financial reasons.
	 [d] The mapping exercise also identified a number of issues that require further discussion and input from the Committee: the timing and scope of the next external effectiveness review; Council's approach and priorities for engaging with a wider group of stakeholders, noting work that is

	 already under way in relation to civic engagement and our local communities. how Council should provide public assurance on wider sustainability issues, noting work that is already under way to develop a sustainability strategy and plan. [d] The Committee will receive further updates as follows: Audit and Risk Committee will report on compliance with the revised Higher Education Audit Committee Code of Practice by July 2021; an internal Governance Review will make recommendations on the effectiveness of Senate, the Boards of Senate and the interface between Council and Senate by October 2021; Remuneration Committee will report on recommendations from AdvanceHE's review of the CUC Senior Staff Remuneration Code by November 2021. 		
QMUL Strategy:	Effective governance supports all aspects of the Strategy		
Internal/External regulatory/statutory reference points:	CUC Higher Education Code of Governance Charter and Ordinances		
Strategic Risks:	Compliance with the ongoing conditions of registration with the Office for Students		
Equality Impact Assessment:	The mapping exercise provides evidence of Council's compliance with the requirements of the Higher Education Code of Governance relating to equality, diversity and inclusion.		
Subject to prior and onward consideration by:	Governance Committee is asked to report to Council.		
Confidential paper under FOIA/DPA	No		
Timing:	Arising from the publication of the revised Higher Education Code of Governance in September 2020.		
Author:	Nadine Lewycky, Assistant Registrar (Governance)		
Date:	29 March 2021		
Senior Management/External Sponsor	Jonathan Morgan, Chief Governance Officer and University Secretary		

Requirements	Compliant	Evidence	Further action needed
1.1 The governing body has overall responsibility for all decisions that might have significant reputational implications for the institution's sustainability (including partnerships or collaborations). It therefore needs assurance that the institution: a) meets all legal and regulatory requirements imposed on it as a corporate body; b) complies with its instruments of governance	Partial	 Compliance with legal and regulatory requirements Audit and Risk Committee receives annual assurance on legal and regulatory compliance, including compliance with the ongoing conditions of registration with the Office for Students. Key risks in relation to legal and regulatory compliance are reported periodically to Audit and Risk Committee and Council with the strategic risk register. Compliance with the instruments of governance The role description for the Secretary to Council states: 'the Secretary to Council is required to provide Council with authoritative guidance 	Ordinance A3 should be updated to reflect the model Statement of Primary Responsibilities in the Code. Governance Committee and Council should be provided with assurance annually on compliance with the Charter and Ordinances.
such as statutes, ordinances and articles; and c) meets the requirements falling upon the institution in respect of public funding issued by bodies, including income from the Student Loan Company.		 about its responsibilities under the Charter, Ordinances and Regulations to which it is subject'. The terms of reference of Governance Committee assign to it responsibility for making recommendations to Council in relation to Ordinances A3–8. Council considers reports: from Senate (Ordinance A9); on the use of the Seal (Ordinances A15); and from the Students' Union (Ordinance C5). It also approves the Constitution of the Students' Union (Ordinance C6). The terms of reference of Honorary Degrees and Fellowships Committee assign to it responsibility for making recommendations to Council in relation to Ordinances A17–19. 	
		 Compliance with the requirements of public funding Council and Audit and Risk Committee receive annual assurance, with input from the Internal Auditor, on the timeliness and quality of data returns. They also receive annual assurance from the External Auditor on the use of public funding. 	
1.2 The regulatory and legal requirements will vary depending on the constitution of individual HEIs, but, for most governing bodies, members are charitable trustees and	Yes	The role description for members states: 'since Queen Mary is a charity, members have a responsibility for ensuring that Council exercises efficient and effective use of the resources of the university for the furtherance of its charitable purposes, maintains its long-term	We could consider more targeted training for members on the regulators and legal requirements of their role.

must comply with case law and legislation governing charities in the exercise of their duties. Some institutions are constituted as companies, and governing body members are normally the company's directors; the primary legislation in this case will be the requirements of the Companies Act.		financial viability, and safeguards its assets, and that proper mechanisms exist to ensure financial control and for the prevention of fraud. Members must be 'fit and proper persons' to act as trustees of the charity.' • Members' duties as charity trustees are set out in the Council Handbook and recruitment materials which, along with other informational materials, are held in the document library on Convene. • Ordinance A4 defines a process to remove a member for 'disqualification under the Charities Act from acting as a charity trustee'.	
1.3 In both instances, members must discharge their duties in line with the accepted standards of behaviour in public life and the values in this Code, accepting individual and collective accountability for the affairs of the institution.	Partial	 The role description for members states: 'members have a responsibility for ensuring that Council conducts itself in accordance with accepted standards of behaviour in public life, embracing selflessness, integrity, objectivity, accountability, openness, honesty and leadership. They must at all times regulate their personal conduct as members of Council in accordance with these standards.' The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ord A3) commits Council 'to conduct its business in accordance with best practice in higher education corporate governance and with the principles of public life drawn up by the Committee on Standards in Public Life'. Members have an annual appraisal with the Chair at which their conduct and contribution is discussed. Ordinance A4 defines a process to remove a member for 'behaviour which falls short of the standards specified in the Council member role description and handbook, or not in keeping with the principles of public life drawn up by the Committee on Standards in Public Life'. 	The role description for members and Ordinance A4 should be updated to make explicit reference to the values in the Code.
1.4 All members of the governing body (including students and staff members) share the same legal responsibilities and obligations as other members, so no one can be routinely excluded from discussions. All members have a duty to record and declare any conflicts of interest.	Yes	 While there is provision for reserved business in the Ordinances, it is not used in practice. The role description for members states: 'members must make a full and timely disclosure of personal interests to the Council Secretary in accordance with the procedures approved by Council. They must as soon as practicable disclose any interest which they have in any matter under discussion and accept the ruling of the Chair in relation to the management of that situation, in order that the integrity of the business of Council and its Committees may be and may be seen to be maintained.' 	We could consider removing the provision for reserved business in the Ordinances.

		All members are required to update the register of interests annually and to notify the Secretariat of changes during the year. Ordinance A6 defines the process and expectations for members to disclose potential conflicts of interest in meetings, which are recorded in the minutes.
1.5 Governing bodies must, as far as practicable, conduct their affairs in an open and transparent manner. This includes publishing accurate information on the use of public funding, value for money and other performance information on their websites, as well as any other information that supports regulatory compliance and accountability to all stakeholders.		 Council approves the Financial Statements which provide public information on these matters. It also approves the Remuneration Annual Report, which provides public information on senior staff pay. The agendas and minutes of Council and Committees are published, except where they are exempt from publication under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.
1.6 There needs to be a clear separation of roles and responsibilities between the Executive and the governing body with delegated authorities to the HoI and any committees that exist.	Yes	 The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ord A3) includes a commitment 'to establish the authority of the President and Principal, as chief executive, for the academic, corporate, financial, estate and personnel management of the institution.' The role description for members states: 'members should endeavour to establish constructive and supportive but challenging working relationships with the university employees with whom they come into contact, but must recognise the proper separation between governance and executive management, and avoid involvement in the day-to-day executive management of the University.' The Delegation Framework sets out the location of authority for decision making within the University.

Element 2 Sustainability. Working with the Executive, the governing body sets the mission, strategic direction, overall aims and values of the institution. In ensuring the sustainability of the institution the governing body actively seeks and receives assurance that delivery of the strategic plans is in line with legislative and regulatory requirements, institutional values, policies and procedures, and that there are effective systems of control and risk management in place.

•			
Requirements	Compliant	Evidence	Further action needed
2.1 The governing body is responsible for the	Partial	In practice, Council approved the University Values in March 2019.	Ordinance A3 should be updated to
mission, character and reputation of the			reflect the model Statement of Primary
institution and therefore sets the values and			Responsibilities in the Code, which
standards that underpin the institution's			include a requirement 'To set and agree
strategy and operation.			the mission, strategic vision and values
			of the institution with the Executive'.

2.2 The governing body must be engaged in	Yes	The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ord A3) assigns	
development of the institution's strategy and	103	to it responsibility 'to approve the mission and strategic vision of the	I
formally approves or endorses the strategic		institution, long-term academic and business plans and key	I
plan in accordance with its constitution and		performance indicators, and to ensure that these meet the interests	I
the expectations of stakeholders, including		of stakeholders' and 'to approve the budget'.	I
students and staff. It will need assurance that		Council approves the financial forecasts on the recommendation of	I
the strategic plan is supported by plans or sub-		Finance and Investment Committee.	I
strategies which ensure there are:		Finance and Investment Committee has oversight of the capital plan.	I
		Audit and Risk Committee has received assurance, with input from the	I
a) enough financial, physical, human and		Internal Auditor, on the reliability of management information which	I
information resources to support the		underpins the Strategy KPIs.	I
institution's aims and objectives; meet		Finance and Investment Committee has oversight of the Carbon	ı
academic standards; protect the collective		Management annual plan which sets out the institutional targets for	ı
student interest; ensure effective delivery and		carbon reduction and energy savings.	I
meet any regulatory or funding commitments,		Work is under way to consider and provide public assurance on wider	ı
including the need to demonstrate value for		sustainability issues. This will feature increasingly in the Financial	I
money;		Statements.	I
b) effective arrangements in place for the management of information which meet ethical standards, Freedom of Information requirements and other legislation on the use and protection of data; c) arrangements in place to ensure that all forms of resources are used in a sustainable (financial, social and environmental), secure and effective manner which supports institutional success; and d) policies and procedures in place which			
support the delivery of the institution's			I
strategy in an environmentally sustainable			ı
way.			I
2.3 The governing body will need to receive	Yes	Council receives regular updates on the Strategy KPIs and Strategic	
regular, reliable, timely and adequate		Risk Register, following consideration by Audit and Risk Committee, as	ı

information to monitor and evaluate performance against the strategic plan. The governing body's role is to have oversight of performance and constructively challenge it, encourage quality enhancement, maintain and raise standards, celebrate achievements and learn from difficulties. 2.4 The governing body needs to understand	Yes	 well as periodic deep dives in reference to the enabling plans. Council receives regular updates on the external environment via 	
the external environment and – along with the		reports from the President and Principal.	
Executive – identify, understand and manage		Council has approved the risk appetite for the University.	
risk appetite and strategic risks and opportunities for the institution.		Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, Council receives regular updates regular update	
	Partial	following consideration by Audit and Risk Committee.	The Internal Governance Review will
2.5 The governing body must actively seek and receive assurance that academic governance	Partial	Council receives regular reports on Senate business.	make recommendations to Council in
is robust and effective. Governing bodies also		Council receives annual reports on academic quality and standards that are aligned with the ongoing conditions of registration with the	2021 on the effectiveness of Senate, the
need to provide assurance on academic		Office for Students.	Boards of Senate and the interface
standards and the integrity of academic		Audit and Risk Committee receives annual assurance on compliance	between Council and Senate.
qualifications, and will work with the		with the Concordat to Support Research Integrity.	
Senate/Academic Board (or equivalent, as		with the concordat to support hescarch integrity.	
specified in their governing instruments) to			
maintain standards and continuously improve			
quality. Governing bodies will also wish to			
receive assurance that specific academic risks			
(such as those involving partnerships and			
collaboration, recruitment and retention, data			
provision, quality assurance and research			
integrity) are being effectively managed.			
2.6 The governing body needs assurance that	Partial	Compliance with the requirements of public funding	Council should consider the annual
the institution is meeting the conditions of		Council and Audit and Risk Committee receive annual assurance from	Access and Participation Monitoring
funding as set by regulatory and funding		the External Auditor on the use of public funding.	Return.
bodies and other major institutional funders. These include:		Council and Audit and Risk Committee receive annual assurance the seal and February Audit Borner to an the effectiveness.	The Delegation Framework should be
		through the Internal and External Audit Reports on the effectiveness	The Delegation Framework should be updated to clarify the circumstances in
the need to use funds in line with the		of systems of financial control and governance.	which partnerships may require
principles of regularity, propriety and		Work is under way to consider and provide public assurance on wider surtainability issues. This will feature increasingly in the Financial	approval by Council other than for
value for money;robust systems of financial control and		sustainability issues. This will feature increasingly in the Financial Statements.	financial reasons.
Tobust systems of financial control and		Statements.	

governance; and

 assurances on social, financial and environmental objectives, e.g. those which support a sustainable environment, the widening of access and participation and civic engagement.

Furthermore, the governing body also needs assurances that:

- the institution's values are practised throughout the organisation;
- the collective interest of current and future students drives decision making, and growth and innovation throughout the institution;
- there is sufficient management freedom and institutional autonomy;
- the institution has considered and taken appropriate actions to mitigate the impact of any risks to students' continuation of study e.g. the closure of a course, campus or location, the discontinuation of a discipline;
- there is an effective and proactive system of risk management in place by which risks are rigorously assessed, understood and effectively managed across the organisation;
- high-quality and robust data is produced and managed to meet all relevant legal and regulatory requirements; and
- effective control and due diligence take places in relation to institutionally significant external activities, for example commercial transactions, collaborations

 Work is under way to define the University's civic engagement strategy.

Assurances on other matters

- Council considers periodic updates on the People, Culture and Inclusion Enabling Plan, which includes various measures to embed the University Values in ways of working.
- Council considers regular reports from the President of the Students Union.
- One of the principles outlined in Strategy 2030, which has been approved by Council, is that education and the student experience should be co-created with students.
- Council has approved a Standards of Business Conduct Policy that applies to all members of staff in the University.
- Council has considered the Student Protection Plan.
- Audit and Risk Committee is provided with assurance annually on compliance with consumer law.
- Audit and Risk Committee and Council have approved a system of risk management and receive annual assurance from the Internal Audit on its effectiveness in practice.
- Council and Audit and Risk Committee receive annual assurance, with input from the Internal Auditor, on the timeliness and quality of data returns.
- Audit and Risk Committee receive assurance periodically from the Internal Auditor on procurement and partnership approval processes.

with HEIs in other countries.			
2.7 The governing body must understand and respect the principle of academic freedom, the ability within the law to question and test received wisdom, and to put forward new ideas and controversial or unpopular opinions without placing themselves in jeopardy of losing their jobs or privileges. The governing body must understand its responsibility to maintain, promote and protect the principle of academic freedom.	Partial	 The Charter states that 'academic staff shall have freedom within the law to question and test accepted ideas, and to put forward new ideas and controversial or unpopular opinions, without placing themselves in jeopardy of losing their jobs or privileges'. Ordinance B4 enshrines the principle of academic freedom in academic contracts of employment. 	The role description for members should make explicit reference to the values in the Code, which include a commitment to academic freedom. We could consider providing more targeted training for members on academic freedom.
2.8 The governing body should also understand their institution's legal responsibility to uphold freedom of speech within the law.	Yes	 Audit and Risk Committee receives annual assurance on legal compliance. The President and Principal's reports to Council include information on speakers and events that have been considered at the highest level within the University. 	We could consider providing more targeted training for members on freedom of speech.
2.9 Effective remuneration of all staff, especially the Vice-Chancellor and their immediate team, is an important part of ensuring institutional sustainability, meeting regulatory requirements and protecting institutional reputation. The governing body should provide assurance on the extent of the institution's compliance with The Higher Education Senior Staff Remuneration Code (published June 2018 by the CUC), and in particular ensure that no one is responsible for determining or influencing their own remuneration.	Yes	 The Remuneration Annual Report, which is approved by Council, provides public information on compliance with the HE Senior Staff Remuneration Code. The terms of reference and membership of Remuneration Committee state 'no member of staff may attend or participate in discussions or decisions regarding their own performance and remuneration'. 	
2.10 Depending on the constitutional documents and regulatory requirements of the institution, some governing bodies will be required to establish a Remuneration Committee to consider and determine, as a minimum, the emoluments of the Vice-Chancellor and other senior staff.	Yes	• The terms of reference of Remuneration Committee include a requirement 'to determine and review at least annually the salaries, terms and conditions, and objectives in relation to the University's strategy, of members of the senior executive, including the President and Principal, and other senior members of staff identified by Council'.	

2.11 All institutions will have external auditors unless exempt under the Companies Act 2006 because of their small size. All institutions are encouraged to have an audit function, whether in-house or externally provided. Some regulatory requirements will specify the need for an internal audit service. The appointment and work of auditors will usually be overseen by an Audit Committee, comprising members that have no executive responsibility (although members of the Executive may attend by invitation). Further guidance on the role of Audit Committees is published separately by CUC and governing bodies should assess the extent to which they comply with that guidance.	Yes	 The terms of reference of Audit and Risk Committee include requirements 'to make recommendations to Council at least annually on the appointment of external and internal auditors' and 'to oversee external and internal audit services'. Audit and Risk Committee will provide assurance to Governance Committee on compliance with the revised Higher Education Audit Committees Code of Practice by the end of 2020/21. 	
2.12 The governing body will consider and, where necessary, act upon an annual audit report from the Audit Committee or equivalent (incorporating recommendations by internal and external audit) and approve the audited annual financial statements.	Yes	 The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ord A3) includes a requirement 'to be the principal financial and business authority of the institution, to ensure that proper accounts are kept, to approve the annual budget and financial statements, and to have overall responsibility for the institution's assets, property and estate'. 	The role description for members and Ordinance A4 should be updated to make explicit reference to the values in the Code.
Element 3 Reputation. The governing body saf	eguards and pi	romotes institutional reputation and autonomy by operating in accordance w	ith the values that underpin this Code, its
various elements and the principles of public l		, , , , , , ,	,
Requirements	Compliant	Evidence	Further action needed
3.1 Members of governing bodies must always	Yes	The role description for members states: 'members have a	
act ethically in line with the principles of public		responsibility for ensuring that Council conducts itself in accordance	
life (the Nolan principles) the institution's		with accepted standards of behaviour in public life embracing	

Requirements	Compliant	Evidence	Further action needed
3.1 Members of governing bodies must always	Yes	The role description for members states: 'members have a	
act ethically in line with the principles of public		responsibility for ensuring that Council conducts itself in accordance	
life (the Nolan principles), the institution's		with accepted standards of behaviour in public life, embracing	
own ethical framework, and in the interests of		selflessness, integrity, objectivity, accountability, openness, honesty	
the institution, its students and other		and leadership. They must at all times regulate their personal conduct	
stakeholders. This applies whether the Board		as members of Council in accordance with these standards.'	
members are elected, nominated or		The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ordinance A3)	
appointed. If a governing body member falls		commits Council 'to conduct its business in accordance with best	
short of these standards, they must be dealt		practice in higher education corporate governance and with the	
with in accordance with the institution's		principles of public life drawn up by the Committee on Standards in	
		Public Life'.	

constitution and Code of Conduct. Such cases must not be ignored.		 Members have an annual appraisal with the Chair at which their conduct and contribution is discussed. Ordinance A4 defines a process to remove a member for 'behaviour which falls short of the standards specified in the Council member role description and handbook, or not in keeping with the principles of public life drawn up by the Committee on Standards in Public Life'.
3.2 Members of governing bodies need to act, and be perceived to act, impartially, and not be influenced by social or business relationships. Institutions must maintain, check and publish a register of the interests of members and senior executives. A member who has a professional, pecuniary, family or other personal interest in any matter under discussion which may be seen to conflict with the best interests of the institution must also disclose the interest in advance of any discussion on the topic. A member does not have a pecuniary interest merely because they are a member of staff or a student.	Yes	 The role description for members states: 'members must make a full and timely disclosure of personal interests to the Council Secretary in accordance with the procedures approved by Council. They must as soon as practicable disclose any interest which they have in any matter under discussion and accept the ruling of the Chair in relation to the management of that situation, in order that the integrity of the business of Council and its Committees may be and may be seen to be maintained.' All members are required to update the register of interests annually and to notify the Secretariat of changes during the year. Ordinance A6 defines the process and expectations for members to disclose potential conflicts of interest in meetings, which are recorded in the minutes.
3.3 In protecting institutional reputation and autonomy, the governing body must ensure that its decision-making processes and those of the institution are ethical and free of any undue pressures from external interest groups, including donors, alumni, corporate sponsors and political interest groups.	Yes	 All members of Council and the Senior Executive Team are required to update the register of interests annually and to notify the Secretariat of changes during the year. Ordinance A6 defines the process and expectations for members of Council to disclose potential conflicts of interest in meetings, which are recorded in the minutes. The University maintains a register of hospitality, gifts, donations and other similar activities. Register entries for the President and Principal are reviewed annually by Remuneration Committee. Council has approved a Standards of Business Conduct Policy that applies to all members of staff in the University. The role description for members states: 'members elected, nominated or appointed by particular constituencies may not act as if delegated by the group they represent, and may not be bound in any way by mandates given to them by others'.

3.4 If an individual member of the governing body has a view that is not consistent with the collective view of the governing body, they should abide by the principle of collective decision making and avoid putting specific interests or personal views before those of the institution. Individually they must not make any agreement for which they do not have authority. Breaches must be taken very seriously and be dealt with in accordance with the institution's governing documents, which should include a Code of Conduct. 3.5 Promoting trust in institutional governing bodies requires assurances that there is effective communication with relevant stakeholders, including the reporting of significant changes in circumstances. Governing bodies will need to consider how they engage stakeholders in decision making and how they publish information and report performance to stakeholders.	Partial	 Council members are reminded of their individual and collective responsibilities through circulation of the Council member handbook on an annual basis. The role description for members states: 'members are required to accept collective responsibility for the decisions reached by Council'. Members have an annual appraisal with the Chair at which their conduct and contribution is discussed. Ordinance A4 defines a process to remove a member for 'behaviour which falls short of the standards specified in the Council member role description and handbook, or not in keeping with the principles of public life drawn up by the Committee on Standards in Public Life'. Council considers regular reports from the President of the Students' Union. Council has established a Review Panel to provide an additional interface between Council and the Students' Union. Council has approved Strategy KPIs in relation to student satisfaction and staff engagement. The content and format of the Financial Statements have been revised to demonstrate how the University provides value to different stakeholders. An exercise is under way to understand the perceptions and expectations of local communities. 	Council should agree an approach and priorities for engaging with a wider group of stakeholders.
3.6 The governing body must take practical steps to ensure that the Students' Union or association operates in a fair, democratic, accountable and financially sustainable manner.	Yes	 Council considers regular reports from the President of the Students' Union and the annual returning officer's report, and approves the Constitution of the Students' Union. The Students' Unions financial statements and forecasts are considered by Council and Finance and Investment Committee. Council has established a Review Panel to provide an additional interface between Council and the Students' Union. 	
3.7 The governing body requires assurance that there is a transparent, effective and published process for making and handling a complaint or raising a concern, and that any internal or external complaints or concerns are handled impartially, constructively and, in	Partial	 Council receives an annual report on student complaints, including matters that are referred to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator. The terms of reference for Audit and Risk Committee include a requirement 'to oversee the Public Interest Disclosure (whistle-blowing) policy and receive regular reports from the Executive on cases'. 	Governance Committee and Council should be provided with assurance on compliance with Ordinance B4 on guiding principles to be followed in relation to staffing matters that are

with any requirements of the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education or equivalent for the devolved nations). The governing body should also ensure there is an offective process in place for investigating disclosures under whistleblowing legislation.			Principal.		
3.8 If a governing body decides it is perpropriate to remunerate governing body nembers and this is permitted in its constitution, it must ensure that payments are commensurate with the duties carried out, are eported in the audited financial statements, are consistent with charity and employment aw, and reflect the institution's values and ethos.	Yes	The Charter does not provide for the remuneration of members.			

Requirements	Compliant	Evidence	Further action needed
4.1 HEIs are required by law to comply with	Yes	Audit and Risk Committee receives annual assurance on legal and	
equality and diversity legislation, and		regulatory compliance, including compliance with the ongoing	
governing bodies are legally responsible for		conditions of registration with the Office for Students.	
ensuring the institution's compliance.			
Legislation in this area does not distinguish			
between domestic and international students			
and staff.			
4.2 The governing body must ensure that	Yes	Council considers the EDI Annual Report and the Annual Gender and	
there are arrangements in place to:		Ethnic Pay Gap Report.	
• eliminate unlawful discrimination,		Council considers periodic updates on the People, Culture and	
harassment and victimisation;		Inclusion Enabling Plan, which includes various measures to foster	
 advance equality of opportunity between 		good relations and promote an inclusive culture in compliance with	
people who share and those who do not		the Public Sector Equality Duty.	
share a protected characteristic;		Council has approved Strategy KPIs to increase gender and ethnic	
• foster good relations between people		diversity in senior staff roles and to reduce the attainment gap for	
who share and those who do not share a		students.	

Yes	 Council considers the EDI Annual Report and the Annual Gender and Ethnic Pay Gap Report, which are both published. Council has approved Strategy KPIs to increase gender and ethnic diversity in senior staff roles and to reduce the attainment gap for students. 	
Yes	 Governance Committee considers annually data on the diversity of Council and Committees compared to the higher education sector and to the student and staff body within the University, and makes recommendations on priorities and strategies for Council member development and recruitment. The Council website includes member profiles. Paper authors are required to complete an Equality Impact Assessment on paper cover sheets. 	
y ensures tha		scrutinizing and evaluating governance
	stitution's constitutional form requires it), and recognised standards of good	practice.
Compliant	Evidence	Further action needed
Yes	 The responsibilities are reflected in the role description for the Secretary to Council. The Secretary to Council is a member of the Senior Executive Team. The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ordinance A3) and the role description for the Secretary to Council state that the Secretary to Council is appointed by Council. 	We could update the role description for the Secretary to Council to clarify that Council is responsible for the removal of the Secretary to Council as well as their appointment.
	Yes y ensures thates where an in Compliant	Pes The Council website includes member profiles. Paper authors are required to complete an Equality Impact Assessment on paper cover sheets. Paper authors are required to complete an Equality Impact Assessment on paper cover sheets. Ethnic Pay Gap Report, which are both published. Gouncil has approved Strategy KPIs to increase gender and ethnic diversity in senior staff roles and to reduce the attainment gap for students. Fig. 3. Governance Committee considers annually data on the diversity of Council and Committees compared to the higher education sector and to the student and staff body within the University, and makes recommendations on priorities and strategies for Council member development and recruitment. The Council website includes member profiles. Paper authors are required to complete an Equality Impact Assessment on paper cover sheets. Assessment on paper cover sheets. Fensures that governance structures and processes are robust, effective and agile by so where an institution's constitutional form requires it), and recognised standards of good Compliant Evidence The responsibilities are reflected in the role description for the Secretary to Council. The Secretary to Council is a member of the Senior Executive Team. The Statement of Primary Responsibilities of Council (Ordinance A3) and the role description for the Secretary to Council state that the

and enables informed decision making. The Secretary has a duty to keep governing body members briefed in respect of all relevant developments in governance and accountability. All members of the governing body should have independent access to the advice and services of the Secretary, who must ensure that governing body members are fully aware of the appropriate rules, regulations and procedures. The Secretary should be senior enough to ensure the governing body and the Executive acts in a way which is compliant with the institution's regulations and is independent enough to provide challenge when this is not the case. Arrangements for the appointment or removal of the Secretary may be defined by governing instruments; where they are not, it must be a decision for the governing body as a whole. 5.2 The governing body needs the appropriate balance of skills, experience, diverse backgrounds, independence and knowledge to make informed decisions. Some constitutional documents specify governing bodies must include staff and student members.	Yes	 Governance Committee considers the skills matrix annually and makes recommendations on priorities and strategies for Council member development and recruitment. Finance and Investment Committee, Remuneration Committee and Honorary Degrees and Fellowships Committee all provide for student and staff participation in decisions either through their membership or through other means. Audit and Risk Committee will make recommendations on its membership as part of giving assurance to Governance Committee on compliance with the revised Higher Education Audit Committees Code of Practice by the end of 2020/21. 	We could consider whether to add a student to the membership of Governance Committee.
5.3 The size and composition of the governing body needs to reflect the nature, scale and complexity of the institution and governing bodies need enough time and resources to function efficiently and effectively. There is a need for a shared understanding of the	Yes	 Council has a sub-structure of Committees which satisfies the requirements of the Code. The current size and composition of Council satisfies the requirements of the Code. 	Given the trend in the sector towards smaller governing bodies, we could consider the size and composition of Council as part of the next effectiveness review.

division between independent non-executive governors and executive governors. The governing body will also need to consider having a committee sub-structure which supports its effective operation, with specific consideration being given to Audit, Finance and Nominations committees.			
5.4 An effective governing body has a culture where all members can question intelligently, debate constructively, challenge rigorously, decide dispassionately and be sensitive to the views of others both inside and outside governing body meetings.		 The most recent effectiveness review concluded that the relationships, culture and behaviours on Council support effective governance. 	
5.5 An effective governing body ensures the Board culture reflects the articulated values and culture of the institution. It also receives assurance that the prevalent behaviours in the institution are consistent with its articulated values.	Partial	 Council considers periodic updates on the People, Culture and Inclusion Enabling Plan, which includes various measures to embed the University Values in ways of working. 	The role description for members and Ordinance A4 should be updated to make explicit reference to the University Values.
5.6 The governing body needs to focus on strategic risks and emerging opportunities for the institution and have enough flexibility to respond to these quickly and effectively.	Yes	 Council receives regular updates on the external environment via reports from the President and Principal. Council has approved the risk appetite for the University. Council receives regular updates on the Strategic Risk Register, following consideration by Audit and Risk Committee. 	
5.7 The governing body needs a suitable arrangement for the continuation of business in the absence of the Chair. Arrangements for a Deputy Chair may be codified within the institution's governing instruments; if not, the Nominations Committee or equivalent can advise the governing body.	Yes	The Vice-Chair role description includes an expectation that the Vice-Chair shall act as the Chair during a vacancy in the office of the Chair and during the absence or disability of the Chair.	
5.8 The governing body also needs to consider the benefits of appointing a Senior Independent Governor (SIG) or equivalent role and explain the rationale for decisions made in this regard. Their role is seen in other sectors	Yes	Governance Committee considered the value of a Senior Independent Governor (SIG) at its meeting in October 2020. The Committee determined that many of the responsibilities of a SIG were undertaken by the Vice-Chair and that this would be made explicit in the role description of the Vice-Chair. The Committee agreed to keep the SIG	

as an important aid to good governance; to help advise the Chair, to be an intermediary for other Board members and to help facilitate an annual appraisal of the Chair. The role of the SIG is different to the Deputy Chair, who should be part of the leadership of the Board and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. **Yes** Council members are required to complete the 'fit and proper person' test on appointment. A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. **Governance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations committee are provide advice to the governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the performance of governing body medes to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership — this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.				
for other Board members and to help facilitate an annual appraisal of the Chair. The role of the SIG is different to the Deputy Chair, who should be part of the leadership of the Board and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). So 9. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. • Council members are required to complete the 'fit and proper person' test on appointment. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • Process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • Process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • Governance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations committee. • Governance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations committee. • The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. • The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. • Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.			role under consideration.	
an annual appraisal of the Chair. The role of the SIG is different to the Deputy Chair, who should be part of the leadership of the Board and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. Yes of Council members are required to complete the 'fit and proper person' test on appointment. A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. Process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. Ordinations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee and provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body on the appointment of the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body on the appointment of the governing body on the spourning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. Sall I making decisions about terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The				
the SIG is different to the Deputy Chair, who should be part of the leadership of the Board and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governing body needs a formal y lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body absoneds the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs a form of the governing body on decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body are desired to the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	·			
should be part of the leadership of the Board and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body needs a formal process to remove any to advise a governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refereshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	an annual appraisal of the Chair. The role of			
and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body, succession planning and skills refereshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body, succession planning and skills refereshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the previous performance of governing body members. The	the SIG is different to the Deputy Chair, who			
specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to resoure that its members are it and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the performance of governing body members. The	should be part of the leadership of the Board			
The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the performance and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	and deputise for the Chair as well as take on			
board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The				
effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. Yes • Council members are required to complete the 'fit and proper person' test on appointment. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. Yes • Governance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations committee. • The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. Yes • The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. • Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.	The SIG should be a voice and a sounding			
arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. • Governance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations committee. • The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. • The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. • The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. • Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.	board for other governors to sense-check the			
appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair). 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body oneeds to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	effectiveness of the governance			
 5.9 The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The Council members are required to complete the 'fit and proper person' test on appointment. A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4. Sovernance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations committee. The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. Members have annual appraisals with the Chair. 	arrangements, and to formally lead the			
test on appointment. A process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership — this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair).			
Proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes	Council members are required to complete the 'fit and proper person'	
needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	process to ensure that its members are fit and		test on appointment.	
of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	proper persons. The governing body also		A process to remove members from office is set out in Ordinance A4.	
a member breaches the terms of their appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	needs the power and process to remove any			
appointment. 5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	of its members from office, and must do so if			
5.10 A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	a member breaches the terms of their			
equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	appointment.			
 governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes recommendations to Council on member appointments. 	5.10 A Nominations Committee (or	Yes	Governance Committee covers all the functions of a nominations	
members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	equivalent) is an effective way to advise a		committee.	
Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	governing body on the appointment of new		The terms of reference of Governance Committee state that it makes	
the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The			recommendations to Council on member appointments.	
perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	Nominations Committee can provide advice to			
governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. • Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.				
refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. • Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.	perceived skills balance required on the			
appointment are taken by the governing body. 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The • The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. • Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.				
 5.11 In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms of four years. Members have annual appraisals with the Chair. 	refreshment. Normally, final decisions on			
the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The				
planned and progressive refreshing of membership – this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	•	Yes	The Charter stipulates that the term of office for members is two terms	
membership — this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		of four years.	
performance of governing body members. The	1		Members have annual appraisals with the Chair.	
	membership – this includes evaluating the			
	1:			
terms of office for governing body members	terms of office for governing body members			

should not be more than nine years (either two terms of four years or three terms of three years) unless there is exceptional justification. This is in line with other Codes and recommended practice.			
5.12 Governing body members need induction, updates and development which supports understanding of their role and changes in their operating environment.	Yes	 Members are provided with a programme of induction activities to ensure a good understanding of the role and QMUL. These are tailored to the particular needs of each individual. Members receive a Council member handbook with key information which is updated annually. Members are encouraged to attend externally-run training sessions which are advertised in the weekly information mailing. Members are encouraged to discuss training needs with the Council Secretariat. 	
5.13 HEIs must conduct a regular, full and robust review of governance effectiveness with some degree of independent input. This will provide assurance to internal and external stakeholders and allow a mechanism to focus on improvement and chart progress towards achieving any outstanding actions arising from the last effectiveness review. It is recommended this review takes place every	Yes	 Council and its Committees conduct annual effectiveness surveys, the outcomes and recommendations from which are considered by Governance Committee. Council currently commissions an external effectiveness review at least every five years, the most recent being in 2019. 	Governance Committee should consider and make a recommendation to Council on the timing and scope of the next external effectiveness review.
three years.			
three years. Element 6 Engagement. Governing bodies und		rious stakeholders of the institution (globally, nationally and locally) and are	assured that appropriate and meaningful
three years. Element 6 Engagement. Governing bodies und engagement takes place to allow stakeholder	views to be co	nsidered and reflected in relevant decision-making processes.	
three years. Element 6 Engagement. Governing bodies und	views to be co Compliant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	assured that appropriate and meaningful Further action needed

• Council has considered the Student Protection Plan.

applies to all members of staff in the University.

the Council website.

Council has approved a Standards of Business Conduct Policy that

Information on governance processes and structures is published on

influence the institution's independence,

mission or academic integrity. Governance

processes and structures should be clearly

visible to staff and students (current and

future), who should have opportunities to

engage with the governance of the institution, should they choose.		The Charter provides for student and staff members.	
6.2 The governing body needs assurance of regular, effective two-way communication with students, staff and other stakeholders, and must be advised of any major issues arising.	Partial	 Email communications to all staff and students from the President and Principal are routinely shared with members at the time so that they can be discussed in meetings if required. An exercise is under way to understand the perceptions and expectations of local communities. Work is under way to consider and provide public assurance on wider sustainability issues. This will feature increasingly in the Financial Statements. Work is under way to define the University's civic engagement strategy. 	Council should agree an approach and priorities for engaging with a wider group of stakeholders.
6.3 The governing body must promote and ensure the social, cultural, economic and environmental impact of the institution, and ensure that institutional success and achievements are reported to stakeholders. The governing body must also ensure that relevant stakeholders are advised of any material changes, adverse or other, in policy or circumstance.	Partial	The content and format of the Financial Statements have been revised to demonstrate how the University provides value to different stakeholders.	Council should agree an approach and priorities for engaging with a wider group of stakeholders.
6.4 Governing bodies need to promote a collegiate, collaborative and cooperative approach to liaison with students, staff and other stakeholders and ensure that interactions are guided by the values, ethics and culture of the institution.	Partial	 Email communications to all staff and students from the President and Principal are routinely shared with members at the time so that they can be discussed in meetings if required. Council considers regular reports from the President of the Students' Union. Council has established a Review Panel to provide an additional interface between Council and the Students' Union. 	Council should agree an approach and priorities for engaging with a wider group of stakeholders.
6.5 Where institutions enter into significant partnership or working arrangements with other organisations, governing bodies need to be assured of the benefits and risks of the partnership, and need to be satisfied that there are effective governance and risk management arrangements in place to support the partnership.	Partial	Council is provided with a business case and risk assessment for significant partnerships that require its approval under the Schedule of Delegations of Financial Authority.	The Delegation Framework should be updated to clarify the circumstances in which partnerships may require approval by Council other than for financial reasons.

6.6 Governing bodies should ensure the institution is accessible and relevant to its local communities, and should be open to, and engage with, their local communities in identifying their role in delivering public/community benefit and economic, civic	 An exercise is under way to understand the perceptions and expectations of local communities. Work is under way to define the University's civic engagement strategy. 	Council should agree an approach and priorities for engaging with a wider group of stakeholders.
duties, cultural and social growth.		




The Higher Education Code of Governance

September 2020

Contents

Foreword	4		
Using the Code			
Values	6		
Six Key Elements	8		
 Element 1 – Accountability 	10		
Element 2 – Sustainability	11		
Element 3 – Reputation	14		
 Element 4 – Inclusion and Diversity 	16		
Element 5 – Effectiveness	17		
■ Element 6 – Engagement	19		
Appendix 1	21		
Appendix 2	22		
Glossarv	24		



Foreword



The contribution of good governance to long-term organisational sustainability and success is widely recognised, and is evident in the growing expectations and demands placed on governing bodies.

The Higher Education Code of Governance has been developed to support governing bodies deliver the highest standards of governance across their institutions. This Code's primary audience is HE providers' governing bodies; however, the thinking that underpins the Code can be applied by any organisation seeking to improve its governance practices.

The purpose of the Code is to identify the key values and elements that form an effective governance framework. However, good governance practice is complex and goes beyond the adoption of the Code. Effective governance requires an organisational culture which gives freedom to act; establishes authorities and accountabilities; and at its core fosters relationships based on mutual respect, trust and honesty.

By visibly adopting the Code, governing bodies demonstrate leadership and stewardship in relation to the governance of their own institutions, and so help to protect institutional reputation and provide a level of assurance to key stakeholders and partners, including the student community and wider society. The Code needs to be read alongside the governing instruments of HEIs and the relevant legal and regulatory requirements that, as far as possible, are not repeated in the Code itself.

While this Code takes account of international and national trends and developments, governance does not stand still. As the expectations of governance change, this Code itself will be reviewed regularly to ensure it remains fit for purpose – normally this will take place every four years.

Using the Code



The Code sets out the key values and elements which enable HEIs to demonstrate their commitment to good governance.

The autonomy and diversity of HEIs is one of the great strengths of the UK HE sector. Therefore, there is a need to ensure governance arrangements are proportionate and can apply to institution irrespective of their size, complexity and legal form. Accordingly, this Code is premised on an 'apply or explain' basis, where institutions are given a set of values and elements, but are not mandated to comply with everything. They can choose which parts of the Code apply to them; however, they are expected to justify the reasons behind their choices.

Each institution will decide how best to implement the Code and adopt a governance model which is proportionate and effective for their set of circumstances. HEIs in the devolved nations will need to consider the Code in the context of their legislative and regulatory environments. Scottish institutions, in particular, will need to first look to the Scottish Code of Good Higher Education Governance (www.scottishuniversitygovernance.ac.uk). English HEIs should consider the overlay of the Public Interest Governance Principles (part of the ongoing conditions of registration with the Office for Students) and Welsh HEIs will need to consider the Welsh Governance Charter .

While compliance with the Code is voluntary, its adoption is a valuable source of assurance to stakeholders who need to have confidence in the governance arrangements of HEIs. Institutions that adopt the Code confirm that they do so within the framework of publicly available reporting on corporate governance, e.g. annual reports or financial statements.

Governing bodies will therefore need to consider how best to communicate to stakeholders the extent of their compliance with the Code, though many will choose to set this out within their financial statements.

The Code does not address methods for its own implementation. This is intentional, and aims to reflect the diversity of the sector and support its flexibility and autonomy. The CUC will collaborate with other organisations to provide more detailed advice on implementation in due course.

Values



At the heart of HE delivery across the UK are a set of core values which HE governance should be founded on:

Integrity:	transparency, accountability, honesty, freedom of speech and academic freedom
Sustainability:	financial and environmental
Inclusivity:	equality, diversity, accessibility, participation and fair outcomes for all
Excellence:	high-quality research, scholarship and teaching
Innovation and growth:	social, economic and cultural
Community:	public service, citizenship, collegiality, collaboration

These values, together with the 'Nolan Principles of Public Life' (reproduced for ease of reference at Appendix 1), provide an ethical framework for the personal behaviour of governors and boards as corporate entities, and are the foundations blocks of this Code.

Objectives

In addition to these principles, the Code is underpinned by an understanding of what future HE governance will need to deliver if it is to meet the challenges of sustainability, growth and change. The objectives are to:

- determine, drive and deliver the institution's mission and success in a sustainable way (financial, social and environmental);
- protect and promote the collective student interest and the importance of a high-quality student experience;
- ensure student outcomes reflect good social, economic and environmental value;
- effectively manage opportunities and mitigate risks to protect the reputation of the institution, ensuring financial sustainability and accountability for public funding;
- promote and develop a positive culture which supports ethical behaviour and equal, diverse and inclusive practices;

- promote excellence in learning, teaching and research, monitoring institutional and governing body performance;
- publish accurate and transparent information which is widely accessible;
- lead by example, being flexible and adaptable to create a resilient future; and
- ensure arrangements are in place for meaningful engagement with relevant stakeholders (especially students and staff) locally, regionally, nationally and globally.

It is important that, within institutions, the Executive, governing body and Secretary develop a shared understanding of these values and objectives and how they wish to apply the individual parts of the Code. Good governance requires more than the development of processes, since it is built on strong relationships, honest dialogue and mutual respect.



Good governance requires more than the development of processes, since it is built on strong relationships, honest dialogue and mutual respect.

The Six Primary Elements of Higher Education Governance



This Code identifies six primary elements that embody the core values, assist in delivering the objectives and provide the basis for good governance in the UK HE sector. The six elements are all interrelated and should not be read or applied as standalone elements.

- 1 Accountability. The governing body is collectively responsible and accountable for institutional activities, approving all final decisions on matters of fundamental concern within its remit.
- Sustainability. Working with the Executive, the governing body sets the mission, strategic direction, overall aims and values of the institution. In ensuring the sustainability of the institution the governing body actively seeks and receives assurance that delivery of the strategic plan is in line with legislative and regulatory requirements, institutional values, policies and procedures, and that there are effective systems of control and risk management in place.
- 3 **Reputation.** The governing body safeguards and promotes institutional reputation and autonomy by operating in accordance with the values that underpin this Code, its various elements and the principles of public life.
- 4 Equality, inclusivity and diversity. The governing body promotes a positive culture which supports ethical behaviour, equality, inclusivity and diversity across the institution, including in the governing body's own operation and composition. This includes ensuring under-representation and differences in outcomes are challenged and, where practicable, corrective action is taken to ensure fair outcomes for all.
- 5 **Effectiveness.** The governing body ensures that governance structures and processes are robust, effective and agile by scrutinising and evaluating governance performance against this Code (and other Codes where an institution's constitutional form requires it), and recognised standards of good practice.
- 6 **Engagement.** Governing bodies understand the various stakeholders of the institution (globally, nationally and locally) and are assured that appropriate and meaningful engagement takes place to allow stakeholder views to be considered and reflected in relevant decision-making processes.



Element 1 Accountability

CUC

Committee of University Chairs

The governing body is collectively responsible and accountable for institutional activities, approving all final decisions on matters of fundamental concern within its remit.

- 1.1 The governing body has overall responsibility for all decisions that might have significant reputational implications for the institution's sustainability (including partnerships or collaborations). It therefore needs assurance that the institution:
 - a) meets all legal and regulatory requirements imposed on it as a corporate body;
 - b) complies with its instruments of governance such as statutes, ordinances and articles; and
 - meets the requirements falling upon the institution in respect of public funding issued by bodies, including income from the Student Loan Company.
- The regulatory and legal requirements will vary depending on the constitution of individual HEIs, but, for most governing bodies, members are charitable trustees and must comply with case law and legislation governing charities in the exercise of their duties. Some institutions are constituted as companies, and governing body members are normally the company's directors; the primary legislation in this case will be the requirements of the Companies Act.
- 1.3 In both instances, members must discharge their duties in line with the accepted standards of behaviour in public life and the values in this Code, accepting individual and collective accountability for the affairs of the institution.
- 1.4 All members of the governing body (including students and staff members) share the same legal responsibilities and obligations as other members, so no one can be routinely excluded from discussions. All members have a duty to record and declare any conflicts of interest.
- Governing bodies must, as far as practicable, conduct their affairs in an open and transparent manner. This includes publishing accurate information on the use of public funding, value for money and other performance information on their websites, as well as any other information that supports regulatory compliance and accountability to all stakeholders.
- There needs to be a clear separation of roles and responsibilities between the Executive and the governing body with delegated authorities to the Head of Institution (HoI) and any committees that exist.


Governing bodies must, as far as practicable, conduct their affairs in an open and transparent manner.

Element 2 Sustainability



Working with the Executive, the governing body sets the mission, strategic direction, overall aims and values of the institution. In ensuring the sustainability of the institution, the governing body actively seeks and receives assurance that delivery of the strategic plan is in line with legislative and regulatory requirements, institutional values, policies and procedures, and there are effective systems of control and risk management in place.

- 2.1 The governing body is responsible for the mission, character and reputation of the institution and therefore sets the values and standards that underpin the institution's strategy and operation.
- 2.2 The governing body must be engaged in development of the institution's strategy and formally approves or endorses the strategic plan in accordance with its constitution and the expectations of stakeholders, including students and staff. It will need assurance that the strategic plan is supported by plans or sub-strategies which ensure there are:
 - enough financial, physical, human and information resources to support the institution's aims and objectives; meet academic standards; protect the collective student interest; ensure effective delivery and meet any regulatory or funding commitments, including the need to demonstrate value for money;
 - effective arrangements in place for the management of information which meet ethical standards, Freedom of Information requirements and other legislation on the use and protection of data;
 - arrangements in place to ensure that all forms of resources are used in a sustainable (financial, social and environmental), secure and effective manner which supports institutional success; and
 - policies and procedures in place which support the delivery of the institution's strategy in an environmentally sustainable way.
- 2.3 The governing body will need to receive regular, reliable, timely and adequate information to monitor and evaluate performance against the strategic plan. The governing body's role is to have oversight of performance and constructively challenge it, encourage quality enhancement, maintain and raise standards, celebrate achievements and learn from difficulties.



- The governing body must actively seek and receive assurance that academic governance is robust and effective. Governing bodies also need to provide assurance on academic standards and the integrity of academic qualifications, and will work with the Senate/Academic Board (or equivalent, as specified in their governing instruments) to maintain standards and continuously improve quality. Governing bodies will also wish to receive assurance that specific academic risks (such as those involving partnerships and collaboration, recruitment and retention, data provision, quality assurance and research integrity) are being effectively managed.
- The governing body needs assurance that the institution is meeting the conditions of funding as set by regulatory and funding bodies and other major institutional funders. These include:
 - the need to use funds in line with the principles of regularity, propriety and value for money;
 - robust systems of financial control and governance; and
 - assurances on social, financial and environmental objectives,
 e.g. those which support a sustainable environment, the
 widening of access and participation and civic engagement.

Furthermore, the governing body also needs assurances that:


- the institution's values are practised throughout the organisation;
- the collective interest of current and future students drives decision making, and growth and innovation throughout the institution;
- there is sufficient management freedom and institutional autonomy;
- the institution has considered and taken appropriate actions to mitigate the impact of any risks to students' continuation of study e.g. the closure of a course, campus or location, the discontinuation of a discipline;
- there is an effective and proactive system of risk management in place by which risks are rigorously assessed, understood and effectively managed across the organisation;
- high-quality and robust data is produced and managed to meet all relevant legal and regulatory requirements; and
- effective control and due diligence take places in relation to institutionally significant external activities, for example commercial transactions, collaborations with HEIs in other countries.

For those institutions that are charities, assurance will be needed that commercial transactions conform to the requirements of charity law and regulation. This is particularly the case where institutions have established subsidiary entities, for example separate operating companies or charitable trusts.



The governing body must actively seek and receive assurance that academic governance is robust and effective. Governing bodies also need to provide assurance on academic standards and the integrity of academic qualifications.

2.7 The governing body must understand and respect the principle of academic freedom, the ability within the law to question and test received wisdom, and to put forward new ideas and controversial or unpopular opinions without placing themselves in jeopardy of losing their jobs or privileges. The governing body must understand its responsibility to maintain, promote and protect the principle of academic freedom.



- 2.9 Effective remuneration of all staff, especially the Vice-Chancellor and their immediate team, is an important part of ensuring institutional sustainability, meeting regulatory requirements and protecting institutional reputation. The governing body should provide assurance on the extent of the institution's compliance with The Higher Education Senior Staff Remuneration Code (published June 2018 by the CUC), and in particular ensure that no one is responsible for determining or influencing their own remuneration.
- 2.10 Depending on the constitutional documents and regulatory requirements of the institution, some governing bodies will be required to establish a Remuneration Committee to consider and determine, as a minimum, the emoluments of the Vice- Chancellor and other senior staff.
- All institutions will have external auditors unless exempt under the Companies Act 2006 because of their small size. All institutions are encouraged to have an audit function, whether in-house or externally provided. Some regulatory requirements will specify the need for an internal audit service. The appointment and work of auditors will usually be overseen by an Audit Committee, comprising members that have no executive responsibility (although members of the Executive may attend by invitation). Further guidance on the role of Audit Committees is published separately by CUC and governing bodies should assess the extent to which they comply with that guidance.
- 2.12 The governing body will consider and, where necessary, act upon an annual audit report from the Audit Committee or equivalent (incorporating recommendations by internal and external audit) and approve the audited annual financial statements.



Element 3 Reputation

CUC Committee of University Chairs

The governing body safeguards and promotes institutional reputation and autonomy by operating in accordance with the values that underpin this Code, its various elements and the principles of public life.

- Members of governing bodies must always act ethically in line with the principles of public life (the Nolan principles), the institution's own ethical framework, and in the interests of the institution, its students and other stakeholders. This applies whether the Board members are elected, nominated or appointed. If a governing body member falls short of these standards, they must be dealt with in accordance with the institution's constitution and Code of Conduct. Such cases must not be ignored.
- Members of governing bodies need to act, and be perceived to act, impartially, and not be influenced by social or business relationships. Institutions must maintain, check and publish a register of the interests of members and senior executives. A member who has a professional, pecuniary, family or other personal interest in any matter under discussion which may be seen to conflict with the best interests of the institution must also disclose the interest in advance of any discussion on the topic. A member does not have a pecuniary interest merely because they are a member of staff or a student.
- In protecting institutional reputation and autonomy, the governing body must ensure that its decision-making processes and those of the institution are ethical and free of any undue pressures from external interest groups, including donors, alumni, corporate sponsors and political interest groups.
- If an individual member of the governing body has a view that is not consistent with the collective view of the governing body, they should abide by the principle of collective decision making and avoid putting specific interests or personal views before those of the institution. Individually they must not make any agreement for which they do not have authority. Breaches must be taken very seriously and be dealt with in accordance with the institution's governing documents, which should include a Code of Conduct.
- assurances that there is effective communication with relevant stakeholders, including the reporting of significant changes in circumstances. Governing bodies will need to consider how they engage stakeholders in decision making and how they publish information and report performance to stakeholders.

Members of governing bodies must always act ethically in line with the principles of public life (the Nolan principles), the institution's own ethical framework, and in the interests of the institution, its students and other stakeholders.

- The governing body must take practical steps to ensure that the Students' Union or association operates in a fair, democratic, accountable and financially sustainable manner.
- The governing body requires assurance that there is a transparent, effective and published process for making and handling a complaint or raising a concern, and that any internal or external complaints or concerns are handled impartially, constructively and, in the case of student complaints, in accordance with any requirements of the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (or equivalent for the devolved nations). The governing body should also ensure there is an effective process in place for investigating disclosures under whistleblowing legislation.
- If a governing body decides it is appropriate to remunerate governing body members and this is permitted in its constitution, it must ensure that payments are commensurate with the duties carried out, are reported in the audited financial statements, are consistent with charity and employment law, and reflect the institution's values and ethos.



Element 4 Inclusion and Diversity

CUC Committee of University Chairs

The governing body promotes a positive culture which supports ethical behaviour, equality, inclusivity and diversity across the institution, including in the governing body's own operation and composition. Diversity in this context does not just mean protected characteristics – it includes a diversity of voice, attitude and experience. It is a means of ensuring that under-representation and differences in outcomes are challenged and, where practicable, followed by a course of corrective action that ensures fair outcomes for all.

- 4.1 HEIs are required by law to comply with equality and diversity legislation, and governing bodies are legally responsible for ensuring the institution's compliance. Legislation in this area does not distinguish between domestic and international students and staff.
- The governing body must ensure that there are arrangements in place to:
 - eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
 - advance equality of opportunity between people who share and those who do not share a protected characteristic;
 - foster good relations between people who share and those who do not share a protected characteristic; and
 - promote an inclusive culture.
- 4.3 Governing bodies need to review and report on the institution's approach to equality, inclusivity and diversity. As a minimum, they must receive an annual equality monitoring report setting out work done by the institution during the year, identifying the achievement of agreed objectives and summarising the data on equality, inclusivity and diversity that they are required to produce and publish. The report needs to consider any significant differential educational outcomes by protected groups. For most institutions, an Equality Impact Assessment and proposals for widening participation in, and increasing access to, HE will be included in the report.
- 4.4 The governing body must routinely reflect on its own composition and consider ways it can encourage diversity in all its forms, thus leading by example. This includes consideration of the impact of decisions on equality, diversity and inclusion.


The governing body must routinely reflect on its own composition and consider ways it can encourage diversity in all its forms, thus leading by example.


Element 5 Effectiveness



The governing body ensures that governance structures and processes are robust, effective and agile by scrutinising and evaluating governance performance against this Code (and other Codes where an institution constitutional form requires it) and recognised standards of good practice.

- 5.1 The Secretary (or Clerk) is responsible to the governing body for the provision of operational and legal advice in relation to compliance with governing instruments, including standing orders. They are also responsible for ensuring information provided to the governing body is timely, appropriate and enables informed decision making. The Secretary has a duty to keep governing body members briefed in respect of all relevant developments in governance and accountability. All members of the governing body should have independent access to the advice and services of the Secretary, who must ensure that governing body members are fully aware of the appropriate rules, regulations and procedures. The Secretary should be senior enough to ensure the governing body and the Executive acts in a way which is compliant with the institution's regulations and is independent enough to provide challenge when this is not the case. Arrangements for the appointment or removal of the Secretary may be defined by governing instruments; where they are not, it must be a decision for the governing body as a whole.
- The governing body needs the appropriate balance of skills, experience, diverse backgrounds, independence and knowledge to make informed decisions. Some constitutional documents specify governing bodies must include staff and student members.
- the nature, scale and complexity of the institution and governing bodies need enough time and resources to function efficiently and effectively. There is a need for a shared understanding of the division between independent non-executive governors and executive governors. The governing body will also need to consider having a committee sub-structure which supports its effective operation, with specific consideration being given to Audit, Finance and Nominations committees.
- An effective governing body has a culture where all members can question intelligently, debate constructively, challenge rigorously, decide dispassionately and be sensitive to the views of others both inside and outside governing body meetings.
- An effective governing body ensures the Board culture reflects the articulated values and culture of the institution. It also receives assurance that the prevalent behaviours in the institution are consistent with its articulated values.





- The governing body also needs to consider the benefits of appointing a Senior Independent Governor (SIG) or equivalent role and explain the rationale for decisions made in this regard. Their role is seen in other sectors as an important aid to good governance; to help advise the Chair, to be an intermediary for other Board members and to help facilitate an annual appraisal of the Chair. The role of the SIG is different to the Deputy Chair, who should be part of the leadership of the Board and deputise for the Chair as well as take on specific duties which are assigned to them. The SIG should be a voice and a sounding board for other governors to sense-check the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, and to formally lead the appraisal of the Chair (and the Deputy Chair).
- The governing body needs a formal process to ensure that its members are fit and proper persons. The governing body also needs the power and process to remove any of its members from office, and must do so if a member breaches the terms of their appointment.
- A Nominations Committee (or equivalent) is an effective way to advise a governing body on the appointment of new members, and must be established. The Nominations Committee can provide advice to the governing body on terms of office, the perceived skills balance required on the governing body, succession planning and skills refreshment. Normally, final decisions on appointment are taken by the governing body.
- In making decisions about terms of office, the governing body needs to ensure there is a planned and progressive refreshing of membership this includes evaluating the performance of governing body members. The terms of office for governing body members should not be more than nine years (either two terms of four years or three terms of three years) unless there is exceptional justification. This is in line with other Codes and recommended practice.
- 5.12 Governing body members need induction, updates and development which supports understanding of their role and changes in their operating environment.
- HEIs must conduct a regular, full and robust review of governance effectiveness with some degree of independent input. This will provide assurance to internal and external stakeholders and allow a mechanism to focus on improvement and chart progress towards achieving any outstanding actions arising from the last effectiveness review. It is recommended this review takes place every three years.



Governing body
members need
induction, updates
and development
which supports
understanding of their
role and changes in
their operating
environment.

Element 6 Engagement

CUC Committee of University Chairs

Governing bodies understand the various stakeholders (especially staff and students) of the institution globally, nationally and locally, and are assured that appropriate and meaningful engagement takes place to allow stakeholder views to be considered and reflected in relevant decision-making processes.

- The governing body needs to ensure the activities of the institution are in the interests of students (current and future) and other stakeholders. Donations, partnerships and similar activities must not inappropriately influence the institution's independence, mission or academic integrity. Governance processes and structures should be clearly visible to staff and students (current and future), who should have opportunities to engage with the governance of the institution, should they choose.
- 6.2 The governing body needs assurance of regular, effective two-way communication with students, staff and other stakeholders, and must be advised of any major issues arising.
- 6.3 The governing body must promote and ensure the social, cultural, economic and environmental impact of the institution, and ensure that institutional success and achievements are reported to stakeholders. The governing body must also ensure that relevant stakeholders are advised of any material changes, adverse or other, in policy or circumstance.
- Governing bodies need to promote a collegiate, collaborative and cooperative approach to liaison with students, staff and other stakeholders and ensure that interactions are guided by the values, ethics and culture of the institution.
- Where institutions enter into significant partnership or working arrangements with other organisations, governing bodies need to be assured of the benefits and risks of the partnership, and need to be satisfied that there are effective governance and risk management arrangements in place to support the partnership.
- Governing bodies should ensure the institution is accessible and relevant to its local communities, and should be open to, and engage with, their local communities in identifying their role in delivering public/community benefit and economic, civic duties, cultural and social growth.

The governing body must promote and ensure the social, cultural, economic and environmental impact of the institution, and ensure that institutional success and achievements are reported to stakeholders.



Appendix 1

Nolan Principles of Public Life



The principles have been taken from the government website and are the basis of the ethical standards expected of public office holders.

- Selflessness: Holders of public office should act solely in terms of the public interest.
- 2. **Integrity:** Holders of public office must avoid placing themselves under any obligation to people or organisations that might try inappropriately to influence them in their work. They should not act or take decisions in order to gain financial or other material benefits for themselves, their family, or their friends. They must declare and resolve any interests and relationships.
- 3. **Objectivity:** Holders of public office must act and take decisions impartially, fairly and on merit, using the best evidence and without discrimination or bias.
- 4. **Accountability:** Holders of public office are accountable to the public for their decisions and actions and must submit themselves to the scrutiny necessary to ensure this.
- 5. **Openness:** Holders of public office should act and take decisions in an open and transparent manner. Information should not be withheld from the public unless there are clear and lawful reasons for so doing.
- 6. **Honesty:** Holders of public office should be truthful.
- Leadership: Holders of public office should exhibit these principles in their own behaviour. They should actively promote and robustly support the principles and be willing to challenge poor behaviour wherever it occurs.

Appendix 2

Statement of Primary Responsibilities



The principal responsibilities of the governing body should be set out in the body's Statement of Primary Responsibilities, which must be consistent with the institution's constitution. While there may be some variations because of different constitutional provisions, the principal responsibilities are likely to be as follows:

- 1. To set and agree the mission, strategic vision and values of the institution with the Executive.
- To agree long-term academic and business plans and key performance indicators and ensure that these meet the interests of stakeholders, especially staff, students and alumni.
- 3. To ensure that processes are in place to monitor and evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the institution against the strategy, plans and approved key performance indicators, which should be, where possible and appropriate, benchmarked against other comparable institutions.
- 4. To delegate authority to the Hol for the academic, corporate, financial, estate and human resource management of the institution, and to establish and keep under regular review the policies, procedures and limits within such management functions as shall be undertaken by and under the authority of the Hol.
- 5. To ensure the establishment and monitoring of systems of control and accountability, including financial and operational controls, risk assessment, value for money arrangements and procedures for handling internal grievances and managing conflicts of interest.
- 6. To establish processes to monitor and evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the governing body itself.
- To conduct its business in accordance with best practice in HE corporate governance and with the principles of public life drawn up by the Committee on Standards in Public Life.
- 8. To safeguard the good name and values of the institution.
- 9. To appoint the Hol as Chief Executive and to put in place suitable arrangements for monitoring their performance.

- 10. To appoint a Secretary to the governing body and to ensure that, if the person appointed has managerial responsibilities in the institution, there is an appropriate separation in the lines of accountability.
- 11. To be the employing authority for all staff in the institution and to be accountable for ensuring that an appropriate human resources strategy is established.
- 12. To be the principal financial and business authority of the institution, to ensure that proper books of account are kept, to approve the annual budget and financial statements, and to have overall accountability for the institution's assets, property and estate.
- 13. To be the institution's legal authority and, as such, to ensure systems are in place for meeting all the institution's legal obligations, including those arising from contracts and other legal commitments made in the institution's name. This includes accountability for health, safety and security and for equality, diversity and inclusion.
- **14.** To receive assurance that adequate provision has been made for the general welfare of students.
- **15.** To act as trustee for any property, legacy, endowment, bequest or gift in support of the work and welfare of the institution.
- **16.** To ensure that the institution's constitution is always followed, and that appropriate advice is available to enable this to happen.
- **17.** To promote a culture which supports inclusivity and diversity across the institution.
- **18.** To maintain and protect the principles of academic freedom and freedom of speech legislation.
- 19. To ensure that all students and staff have opportunities to engage with the governance and management of the institution.



Glossary

- Clerk is used interchangeably with Secretary.
- External members are all non-executive governing body members from outside the institution, irrespective of how they are appointed.
- Governing body which in some HEIs is called the Council, Court or Board of Governors. It may also be the Board of Directors or equivalent.
- *Head of Institution* means the Vice-Chancellor, Principal or equivalent.
- HEIs are Higher Education Institutions. The CUC Executive Committee has agreed that the term Higher Education Institution will be used instead of Higher Education Provider. Please note that the Code uses the term interchangeably with 'universities'.
- Should is used for recommended actions; however, the Code recognises that there may be circumstances where the recommendation would not be applicable or proportionate for the institution.
- *Stakeholders:* These are staff, existing and prospective students, funders, regulators, suppliers, local communities, public authorities, alumni.
- Want, need and must are used interchangeably and refer to actions that are in line with the requirements of the Code.

